



**Government of Odisha  
Office of Special Relief Commissioner**

**ORDER**

(As per direction of Hon'ble High Court of Odisha in WP(C) No.33208 of 2021)

No. 6076 /R&DM(DM)

Date: 31/10/2021

In exercise of the powers under the Disaster Management Act, 2005, the State Government vide order No. 5659/R dated 30.09.2021 passed the following directions for application across the State:

*“With a view to protecting the health of general public and to curb the spread of infection, sale and use of fire crackers shall remain prohibited during this festive month.”*

The All Odisha Fireworks Dealers Association preferred a writ petition being W.P.(C) No. 33208 of 2021 before the Hon'ble High Court of Orissa challenging the aforesaid order prohibiting the sale and use of fire crackers in Odisha during the festival of Diwali, which is scheduled to be held on 04.11.2021. The Petitioners therein had sought the following reliefs:

*“The petitioner, therefore, prays that this Hon'ble Court be graciously pleased to admit this writ application, issue notice to the Opposite Parties and after hearing the parties issue appropriate writ/writs/order/direction/ directing the Opposite Party to modify its direction vide Annexure-2 to allow the sale and use of green firecrackers during the upcoming festive season in terms of the order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Arjun Gopal and the order dated 01.12.20 by the National Green Tribunal is O.A.24912020;*

*AND to pass appropriate order/direction to allow the petitioners a short window to sell their stock of firecrackers within a specified period by granting them the requisite permissions and licenses.*

*AND to pass such other order/orders and to issue such other writ/writs as would afford complete relief to the petitioner”*

The Hon'ble High Court, on 29.10.2021, in the aforesaid writ petition was pleased to pass the following directions:

*“6. In view of the above affidavit, and in the light of the fact that the Supreme Court of India is stated to be still seized of the applications in the Arjun Gopal case, this Court issues the following directions:*

- (i) The SRC will hear the Petitioners tomorrow, i.e. 30th October, 2021 at 11 am in his office.*
- (ii) The SRC will take into account the orders passed by the Supreme Court of India in the Arjun Gopal case including any order that may be passed today, the guidelines issued by the NGT and further the current status of the prevalence of the Covid-19.*
- (iii) The SRC will conclude the hearing tomorrow itself i.e. 30th October, 2021 by 1 pm and pass a reasoned order on the Petitioners' request on or before 1st November, 2021 10.30 am with a copy thereof being made available to the Petitioners and another being presented before this Court.”*

In obedience to the orders of the Hon'ble High Court, the Special Relief Commissioner granted an opportunity of hearing to the Petitioners in the writ petition at 11:00 am on 30.10.2021. The hearing was attended by the following persons:

1. Sri Pradeep Jena, IAS  
Special Relief Commissioner &  
Addl. Chief Secretary to Govt.  
Disaster Management
2. Sri Soumendra Ku. Priyadarshi, IPS  
Commissioner of Police  
Bhubaneswar- Cuttack
3. Prof. C.B.K. Mohanty  
D.M.E.T
4. Sri Bishikeshan Nayak  
Sr. Environmental Scientist  
State Pollution Control Board, Bhubaneswar
5. Sri Debakanta Mohanty  
Addl. Govt. Advocate
6. Sri Arnav Behera  
Advocate
7. Sri Goutam Mukharjee  
Senior Advocate for Petitioners





8. Sri Mark Wright  
Advocate for Petitioners
9. Sri Manoj Kumar Sahoo  
Representative of Odisha Fire Crackers Association
10. Sri Trilochan Pattnaik  
Representative of Odisha Fire Crackers Association
11. Sri Bishu Prasad Sahoo  
Representative of Odisha Fire Crackers Association
12. Sri Aswini Kumar Behera  
Representative of Odisha Fire Crackers Association

Sh. Gautam Mukherji, Senior Advocate, placed his submissions on behalf of the All Odisha Fireworks Dealers Association. He also submitted a convenience compilation of judgments and orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, the Hon'ble National Green Tribunal and the Hon'ble High Court of Orissa.

Sh. Mukherji emphasised that use of fireworks is an expression of celebration which is protected as a fundamental right. However, he submitted that the Right to Life and Healthy Living under Article 21 must undeniably be prioritised. He submitted that the State Government ought not to ban fireworks altogether but must make a balanced approach to phase out hazardous fireworks.

He thereafter, referred to the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Arjun Gopal v. Union of India, (2019) 13 SCC 523, and the judgment of the Hon'ble National Green Tribunal dated 01.12.2020. He emphasized that whereas, the Hon'ble National Green Tribunal has banned fireworks only in towns/ cities where the ambient air quality falls under the 'poor' category, and restricted the use of fireworks to only green fireworks in towns/ cities where air quality is 'moderate', the air quality in the State of Odisha as per the data from the State Pollution Control Board has never been worse than 'moderate'.

He then referred to the order dated 29.10.2021 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India wherein the Hon'ble Court has clarified that there is no total ban on use of fireworks. However, only those fireworks which are termed as "green fireworks" have been permitted for sale and use. He also referred to the affidavit filed by PESO before the Hon'ble High Court in W.P.(C) No. 33208 of 2021 wherein PESO has stated that it has no objection to the sale and use of green fireworks conforming to the standards set by Council for Scientific and Industrial Research- National Environmental Engineering Research Institute (CSIR-NEERI).

He further submitted that while the Fireworks Traders have in their stock of both green fireworks and hazardous fireworks, they undertake that only green fireworks shall be put up for sale by them. He finally submitted that there are

‘black sheep’ amongst genuine traders who have been dealing with fake green fireworks, but the presence of a few ‘black sheep’ cannot be used to ban fireworks altogether, thereby gravely affecting the businesses of genuine and honest traders.

Sh. Mukherji requested that the State Government may balance the concerns raised in light of the COVID-19 pandemic, the concerns of Fireworks Traders who are faced with major economic loss, and the concerns of common citizens who wish to express their joy during the festivities. He requested that the State Government may allow the sale and use of green fireworks.

Sh. Saumendra Priyadarshi, Commissioner of Police, Bhubaneswar-Cuttack Police Commissionerate submitted that it will be difficult to regulate retailers so as to ensure that retailers only sell genuine green fireworks obtained from authorised dealers, instead of cheap, hazardous, locally manufactured fireworks.

Dr. CBK Mohanty, DMET, Odisha raised concerns regarding the effect of unregulated hazardous fireworks causing irreparable damage to people recuperating from COVID-19.

The representative of the State Pollution Control Board submitted that in deference to the directions of the Hon’ble Supreme Court to monitor ambient air quality and noise levels seven days prior to, and after Diwali, the State Pollution Control Board has started the said exercise on 29.10.2021.

The Hon’ble Supreme Court in the case of Arjun Gopal v. Union of India, (2019) 13 SCC 523 has recognised that prima facie, the fundamental right to life and healthy living under Article 21 must be prioritised over the fundamental right to carry on trade or business under Article 19(1)(g), as well the fundamental right to carry on religious practice under Article 25. This finding of the Hon’ble Supreme Court is enshrined in paragraphs 41 and 42 of the judgment which are reproduced hereunder:

*“41. It may be stressed that in Vellore Citizens' Welfare Forum case [Vellore Citizens' Welfare Forum v. Union of India, (1996) 5 SCC 647] this Court had banned the tanneries when it was found that they were causing immense damage to the environment. Thus, environment protection, which is a facet of Article 21, was given supremacy over the right to carry on business enshrined in Article 19(1)(g). We state at the cost of repetition that right to health, which is recognised as a facet of Article 21 of the Constitution and, therefore, is a fundamental right, assumes greater importance. It is not only the petitioners and other applicants who have intervened in support of the petitioners, but the issue involves millions of persons living in Delhi and NCR, whose right to health is at stake. However, for the time being, without going into this*



*debate in greater details, our endeavour is to strive at balancing of two rights, namely, right of the petitioners under Article 21 and right of the manufacturers and traders under Article 19(1)(g) of the Constitution.*

*42. Almost for the same reasons, argument predicated on Article 25 of the Constitution need not detain us. We proceed on the assumption that burning of crackers during Diwali is a part of religious practice. The question is as to whether it should be allowed to be continued in the present form without any regulatory measures, as a part of religious practice, even if it is proving to be a serious health hazard. We feel that Article 25 is subject to Article 21 and if a particular religious practice is threatening the health and lives of people, such practice is not entitled to protection under Article 25. In any case, balancing can be done here as well by allowing the practice subject to those conditions which ensure nil or negligible effect on health.”*

Finally, the Hon’ble Supreme Court passed the following directions for regulation of fireworks in paragraph 48 of the judgment which are reproduced hereunder:

*“48. We are of the opinion that the aforesaid suggestions strike a nice balance between the two competing interests. We accept the aforesaid measures as suggested by the Union of India and direct the Union of India and other authorities concerned to implement the same with immediate effect. In view thereof, the following specific directions are issued:*

*48.1. The crackers with reduced emission (improved crackers) and green crackers, as mentioned in Suggestions II and III above only would be permitted to be manufactured and sold.*

*48.2. As a consequence, production and sale of crackers other than those mentioned in Suggestions II and III is hereby banned.*

*48.3. The manufacture, sale and use of joined firecrackers (series crackers or laris) is hereby banned as the same causes huge air, noise and solid waste problems.*

*48.4. The sale shall only be through licensed traders and it shall be ensured that these licensed traders are selling those firecrackers which are permitted by this order.*

*48.5. No e-commerce websites, including Flipkart, Amazon, etc., shall accept any online orders and effect online sales. Any such e-commerce companies found selling crackers online will be hauled up for contempt of court and the Court may also pass, in that eventuality, orders of monetary penalties as well.*

48.6. Barium salts in the fireworks is also hereby banned.

48.7. PESO is directed to review the clinical composition of fireworks, particularly reducing Aluminium content, and shall submit its report in respect thereof within a period of two weeks from today. For undertaking this exercise, PESO would also associate FRDC.

48.8. Even those crackers which have already been produced and they do not fulfil the conditions mentioned in Suggestions II and III above will not be allowed to be sold in Delhi and NCR.

48.9. PESO will ensure fireworks with permitted chemicals only to be purchased/possessed/sold/used during Diwali and all other religious festivals, of any religion whatsoever, and other occasions like marriages, etc. It shall test and check for the presence of banned chemicals like lithium/arsenic/antimony/lead/mercury.

48.10. PESO will ensure suspension of the licences of manufacturers of such fireworks items and appropriate disposal of such stock.

48.11. PESO will ensure that only those crackers whose decibel (sound) level are within the limits are allowed in the market and will ensure to take action by suspending the licences of the manufacturers on such violations and disposal of such lots. To add to it, as mentioned in the order dated 12-9-2017 [Arjun Gopal v. Union of India, (2017) 16 SCC 280] , the directions issued and restrictions imposed in the order passed by this Court on 18-7-2005 in Noise Pollution (5) [Noise Pollution (5), In re, (2005) 5 SCC 733] shall continue to be in force.

48.12. Directions 4 to 9 and 11 contained in the order dated 12-9-2017 [Arjun Gopal v. Union of India, (2017) 16 SCC 280] shall continue to operate and are reiterated again.

48.13. Extensive public awareness campaigns shall be taken up by the Central Government/State Governments/Schools/Colleges informing the public about the harmful effects of firecrackers.

48.14. On Diwali days or on any other festivals like Gurupurab, etc., when such fireworks generally take place, it would strictly be from 8.00 p.m. till 10.00 p.m. only. On Christmas eve and New Year's eve, when such fireworks start around midnight i.e. 12.00 a.m., it would be from 11.55 p.m. till 12.30 a.m. only.

48.15. The Union of India, Government of NCT of Delhi and the State Governments of NCR would permit community firecracking only (for Diwali



*and other festivals, etc. as mentioned above), wherever it can be done. For this purpose, particular area/fields would be pre-identified and predesignated by the authorities concerned. This exercise shall be completed within a period of one week from today so that the public at large is informed about the designated places one week before Diwali. The areas designated now for the purpose of Diwali shall be valid for community firecracking on other occasions/festivals as well, as mentioned above. Even for marriages and other occasions, sale of improved crackers and green crackers is only permitted. Insofar as other States are concerned, an endeavour shall be made by them also to explore the feasibility of community firecracking. However, it is made clear that Direction 48.14 pertaining to the duration within which fireworks can take place on all such occasions would be applicable throughout India. Similarly, Direction 48.13 for extensive public awareness campaigns is also a pan India direction.*

*48.16. All the official respondents, and particularly the police, shall ensure that fireworks take place only during the designated time and at designated places, as mentioned above. They shall also ensure that there is no sale of banned firecrackers. In case any violation is found, the Station House Officer (SHO) of the police station of the area concerned shall be held personally liable for such violation and this would amount to committing contempt of the Court, for which such SHO(s) would be proceeded against.*

*48.17. CPCB and respective State Pollution Control Boards/Pollution Control Committees (SPCBs/PCCs) of the States and Union Territories shall carry out short-term monitoring in their cities for 14 days (commencing from 7 days prior to Diwali and ending 7 days after Diwali) for the parameters namely, aluminium, barium, iron apart from the regulatory parameters against the short-term Ambient Air Quality Criteria Values (AAQCVs) proposed by CPCB with regard to bursting of firecrackers. This will help in generation of data on pollution caused by the bursting of firecrackers and would be helpful for regulation and control quantity of aluminium, barium and iron used in the manufacture of firecrackers.”*

It may be pertinent to state herein that the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Arjun Gopal (supra) was issued prior to the COVID-19 pandemic era.

Subsequently, on 03.03.2020, the Hon'ble Supreme Court in W.P.(C) No. 728 of 2015 being Arjun Gopal & Ors. v. Union of India & Ors., took cognizance of the issue that several manufacturers of fireworks had been wilfully flouting the directions laid down by it in Arjun Gopal (supra) and have continued to use banned items, namely Barium and Barium salts. The Hon'ble Supreme Court



directed an investigation by the Central Bureau of Investigation (CBI) into the alleged violations of the Hon'ble Supreme Court's orders.

In the meantime, taking into account the effect of air pollution on COVID-19, a disease which primarily affects the respiratory system, the Hon'ble National Green Tribunal, on 01.12.2020 also passed the following directions, supplementing the directions passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court:

*“32. In view of above discussion, following directions are issued:*

- i. There will be total ban on sale and use of all kinds of fire crackers during Covid-19 pandemic in the NCR and all cities/towns in the country where the ambient air quality falls under the 'poor' and above category.*
- ii. Direction to restrict use of fire crackers in cities/towns where air quality is 'moderate' or below to green crackers only and for duration of not more than two hours and only for celebration of any specified festivals or permitted occasions will continue. Such festivals be specified by the States. Other than specified festivals, prior permission of the District Magistrate of the area will be required for use of crackers for limited period which will be given having regard to air quality.*
- iii. During Christmas and New Year, green crackers can be used from 11:55 pm to 12:30 am only at places where air quality is 'moderate' or below as directed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.*
- iv. With a view to ensure availability of air quality data, atleast one air quality monitoring station must be set up at every district headquarter at the earliest. Where no such monitoring station exists, atleast a manual monitoring station which is very easy to set up and is not costly must be installed at the earliest, using simpler procedure like GeM. This should not exceed three months in any case. This will be the responsibility of the State PCBs/PCCs from available funds under 'consent mechanism' / 'environmental compensation'. The air quality data may be placed on the website of the District administration and also at prominent locations in the towns, in the form of AQI, PM2.5 and PM10.*
- v. We further direct that the Air Quality Monitoring Committees (AQMC) in terms of order of this Tribunal dated 08.10.2018 in OA No. 681/201819 to coordinate with*



*the District Magistrates. 20 for compliance of the above directions.*

- vi. The District Magistrates in every district may take steps to ensure that banned fire crackers are not sold in terms of order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 23.10.2018.21*
- vii. The District Magistrate, on a complaint or otherwise, will recover compensation from violators of the above directions on the parameters already mentioned in Para 24 above.*
- viii. Any victim of pollution, apart from other remedies, can approach the District Magistrate for compensation, by showing evidence of individual damage and the person responsible for the damage. Such claim may be dealt with by a reasoned order*
- ix. If no such claim is made for six months after collection of the compensation, the amount credited to the 'District Environment Compensation Fund' can be spent for restoration of the Environment in the District.*
- x. The State PCBs/PCCs may compile data of compliance from all the Districts by 28.2.2021 and furnish the same to the CPCB by 15.3.2021. CPCB may file consolidated report in this regard in OA 681/2018 by 31.3.2021. The applications are disposed of"*

It may be stated herein that undeniably, the second wave of the COVID-19 pandemic placed a greater strain on the people as well as the resources of the State than the first wave. Indeed, the number of casualties from the second wave are much higher than those of the first wave. Hundreds of people who have recovered from COVID-19, which affects the respiratory system, are convalescing and any increase in air pollution levels (however mild) can cause irreparable damage to the respiratory system of COVID-19 survivors. Needless to state, close proximity and crowding during festivities, exposure to harmful chemical pollutants from fireworks also increases the risk of contracting COVID-19. It was in this light that the State Government had passed the earlier direction to ban the sale and use of fireworks across the State.

Now, on 29.10.2021, the Hon'ble Supreme Court, after hearing various stakeholders, including representatives of Fireworks Traders, has reiterated the earlier directions passed by it in Arjun Gopal (supra). The relevant paragraphs from the order dated 29.10.2021 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in W.P.(C) No. 728 of 2015 are reproduced hereunder:

*"6. It is unfortunate that despite the various directions issued by this Court referred to hereinabove, there is a blatant violation of*

various directions issued by this Court and it is alleged that manufacture, sale and use of banned fireworks have been continued. Even though they are banned, the manufacturing, selling and using of joined firecrackers have been continued and the same is being used in blatant violation of the directions issued by this Court. **It is reported that even under the guise of 'green crackers' banned chemicals firecrackers are being sold and there is a mislabelling on the boxes and even the QR codes provided on the boxes of 'green crackers' are alleged to be fake.**

7. There is a report submitted by the CBI submitted pursuant to the earlier directions/orders passed by this Court and it prima facie appears that there is a blatant violation of the directions issued by this Court by the respective manufacturers and the banned firecrackers are being sold and used in the markets. The allegations, if found to be true, are very serious and cannot be tolerated. Everybody including the implementing agencies are bound to see that the directions issued by the Courts are implemented and followed in its true spirit and in toto. It prima facie appears that the implementing agencies of the concerned States either lack desire to implement the directions issued by this Court or they close their eyes for whatever reasons. Despite the use of Barium Salts in the firecrackers was banned; manufacture and use of the joined fireworks have been banned and transporting and selling them across the country have also been banned physically and/or online, the banned firecrackers are being manufactured, transported, sold and used. From the earlier directions, it can be seen that even the responsibility to see that the directions issued by this Court are complied with by all concerned was on the concerned District Magistrates and the Police officials.

8. Considering the fact that earlier directions issued by this Court which have been issued by this Court from time to time in the larger public interest and having found that such use of banned fireworks affects the health of the other innocent citizens including the senior citizens and the children, it is the duty of all the States/State Agencies to see that the directions issued by this Court in the earlier orders reproduced hereinabove are strictly complied with. No authority can be permitted the violation of the directions issued by this Court and permit banned firecrackers under the guise of celebration. Celebration cannot be at the cost of the other's health. Under the guise of celebration, nobody can be permitted to infringe the right to health of the others, guaranteed



*under Article 21 of the Constitution of India and nobody can be permitted to play with the life of the others, more particularly the senior citizens and the children. It is made clear that there is no total ban on use of firecrackers. Only those firecrackers are banned, as directed hereinabove, which are found to be injurious to health and affecting the health of the citizens, more particularly the senior citizens and the children.*

*9. Before passing any further orders and while adjourning the present application, we again reiterate the directions issued by this Court earlier reproduced hereinabove including banning the use of Barium Salts in the firecrackers and manufacturing and selling joined firecrackers and other directions issued by this Court reproduced hereinabove. We direct all the States/Union Territories to see that the directions issued earlier by this Court and issued by this Court today are strictly complied with in its true spirit and in toto. Any lapse on the part of the State Governments/State Agencies and Union Territories shall be viewed very seriously and if it is found that any banned firecrackers are manufactured, sold and used in any particular area, the Chief Secretary of the concerned State(s), the Secretary (Homes) of the concerned State(s) and the Commissioner of Police of the concerned area, District Superintendent of Police of the concerned area and the SHO/Police Officer in-charge of the concerned police station shall be held personally liable. Nobody can be permitted to flout and/or disobey the directions issued by this Court/Courts. Any wilful and deliberate disobedience shall have to be viewed very seriously.”*

The Hon’ble Supreme Court has clarified that there is no total ban on use of fireworks. However, only those fireworks which are termed as “green fireworks” have been permitted for sale and use.

Even in respect of green fireworks, the Hon’ble Supreme Court has raised the concern that “*even under the guise of ‘green crackers’ banned chemicals firecrackers are being sold and there is a mislabelling on the boxes and even the QR codes provided on the boxes of ‘green crackers’ are alleged to be fake*”.

The Hon’ble Supreme Court has made it clear that it is for the “*States/State Agencies to see that the directions issued by this Court in the earlier orders reproduced hereinabove are strictly complied with*”.

Further, the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, in their Affidavit dated 29.10.2021 filed before the Hon’ble High Court in W.P.(C) No.



33208 of 2021 in paragraph 5 have stated that PESO grants an approval and final authorisation to manufacturers of green fireworks.


In light of the order dated 29.10.2021 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, the following directions are passed in respect of sale and use of fireworks during the festival of Diwali:

- A. Sale and use of fireworks shall be limited to only "green fireworks" that conform to the definition and formulation as proposed by CSIR-NEERI.
- B. Sale and use of other fireworks shall be completely banned. Sale and use of joined firecrackers (series crackers or *laris*) shall also remain banned.
- C. Only those traders/ dealers who obtain and produce a certificate from the PESO, after due inspection of their existing stock, certifying that the green fireworks stocks in their possession conform to the definition and formulation proposed by CSIR-NEERI and are genuine shall be allowed to sell their products.
- D. Authenticated copy of the PESO certificate granted after due inspection of existing stocks lying in possession of the traders/ dealers shall be deposited with the District Administration/ Police Commissioner for getting permission to sell the green fireworks.
- E. Traders/ dealers who fail to obtain and produce the certificate from PESO shall not be allowed to sell their products, keeping the "precautionary principle" in mind. Mere labelling of fireworks boxes as "green fireworks" and providing QR codes shall not entitle any trader/ dealer to sell the same.
- F. Only those retailers who obtain a license from the concerned authorities under the provisions of the Indian Explosives Act, 1884 shall be allowed to sell green fireworks.
- G. Retailers shall be allowed to set up shops and sell green fireworks only in special zones designated by the respective District Administrations/ Police Commissionerate.
- H. Green Fireworks shall only be sold at designated sites and all COVID-19 protocols shall be strictly followed. Sale of Fireworks on the internet shall be strictly banned.





- I. Wholesale Traders and Retailers shall have to produce original invoices on demand by the District Administration/ Police Commissionerate.
- J. The use of fireworks shall be limited to 8:00 pm to 10:00 pm on Diwali day, i.e., 04.11.2021 only. Use of fireworks beyond the said time frame shall be visited with strict penal action.
- K. Violation of any of the aforesaid conditions shall be dealt with strictly and penal action shall be taken in accordance with law.
- L. The District Administration/ Police Commissionerate is directed to ensure strict compliance with the above conditions.
- M. The District Administration/ Police Commissionerate shall ensure full & complete compliance of the orders above through proper verification of the documents as mentioned supra and proper enforcement so as to ensure no spurious/ unauthorised/ banned fire crackers are sold anywhere within their jurisdiction. Further, the local officers shall be personally liable for violations of the orders of Hon'ble Apex Court, Hon'ble National Green Tribunal and Hon'ble High Court of Odisha.

  
31.10.2021

Special Relief Commissioner &  
Additional Chief Secretary to Govt.  
(Disaster Management)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

I.A. No. 44727/2021 in WRIT PETITION(C) NO. 728/2015

Arjun Gopal and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION(C) NO. 891/2016

WRIT PETITION(C) NO. 895/2016

WRIT PETITION(C) NO. 899/2016

WRIT PETITION(C) NO. 213/2017

O R D E R

1. The present application has been preferred by the applicants praying for the following directions:

- a) ban the sale, purchase and use of firecrackers in India;
- b) State Governments and police to take immediate appropriate steps against anyone seeking to manufacture, sell or purchase firecrackers;
- c) District Magistrates and Superintendents of Police of every district be made personally accountable if the order for a complete ban on the manufacture, sale, purchase and use of firecrackers is violated;
- d) The Delhi Police be directed to state on affidavit the senior level officer(s) that are to be responsible and personally accountable for ensuring that the ban on transportation of fireworks into Delhi and NCR from outside regions is properly enforced and violators caught and punished; and
- e) suspend the licenses of all manufacturers selling fake 'green crackers' that contained banned substances

and seeking to bring on record the tests done by 'Awaaz Foundation' alleging

Signature Not Verified  
Digitally signed by R.  
Natarajan  
Date: 2024.10.29  
18:01:25 IST  
Reason: 

that the banned firecrackers are being manufactured, used and sold though they are specifically banned by this Court and alleging that 'green crackers'



are being sold in the market by fireworks manufacturers containing chemicals that have been banned by this Court and not only that but even the fake QR codes are printed on the boxes and mislabelling them as 'green crackers'.

2. Earlier by order dated 11.11.2016, by way of interim directions, this Court directed the Central Government to:

- i) suspend all such licences as permit sale of fireworks, wholesale and retail, within the territory of NCR;
- ii) the suspension shall remain in force till further orders of this Court; and
- iii) no such licences shall be granted or renewed till further orders.

While issuing the aforesaid interim directions, this Court took into consideration the harmful effects of fireworks on the ambient air and the lungs, eyes and ears of the people. This Court also took note of the fact that extreme nuisance, noise the fireworks cause to citizens particularly the ailing and the aged.

3. That thereafter, this Court issued further directions in terms of order dated 12.09.2017, reported in (2017) 16 SCC 280 (para 72), which reads as under:

**"72.** As mentioned above, the health of the people in Delhi and in NCR must take precedence over any commercial or other interest of the applicant or any of the permanent licensees and, therefore, a graded regulation is necessary which would eventually result in a prohibition. Taking all factors into consideration, we are of the view that the following orders and directions are required to be issued and we do so:

**72.1.** The directions issued by this Court in *Sadar Bazar Fire Works (Pucca Shop) Assn. [Sadar Bazar Fire Works (Pucca Shop) Assn. v. Pankaj Traders, SLP (C) No. 17327 of 1993, order dated 1-11-1993 (SC)]* · [*Sadar Bazar Fire Works (Pucca Shop) Assn. v. Pankaj Traders, SLP (C) No. 17327 of 1993, order dated 22-10-1993 (SC)*] shall stand partially modified to the extent that they are not in conformity with the Explosives Rules which shall be implemented in full by the authorities concerned. Safety from fire hazards is one of our concerns in this regard.

**72.2.** Specifically, Rule 15 relating to marking on explosives and packages and Rule 84 relating to temporary shops for possession and sale of fireworks during festivals of the Explosives Rules shall be strictly enforced. This should not be construed to mean that the other Rules need not be enforced — all Rules should be enforced. But if the fireworks do not conform to the requirements of Rules 15 and 84, they cannot be sold in NCR, including Delhi and this prohibition is absolute.

**72.3.** The directions issued and restrictions imposed in the order passed by this Court on 18-7-2005 in *Noise Pollution (5)* [*Noise Pollution (5), In re*, (2005) 5 SCC 733] shall continue to be in force.

**72.4.** The police authorities and the District Magistrates concerned will ensure that fireworks are not burst in silence zones, that is, an area at least 100 m away from hospitals, nursing homes, primary and district health-care centres, educational institutions, courts, religious places or any other area that may be declared as a silence zone by the authorities concerned.

**72.5.** The Delhi Police is directed to reduce the grant of temporary licences by about 50% of the number of licences granted in 2016. The number of temporary licences should be capped at 500. Similarly, the States in NCR are restrained from granting more than 50% of the number of temporary licences granted in 2016. The area of distribution of the temporary licences is entirely for the authorities to decide.

**72.6.** The Union of India will ensure strict compliance with the Notification GSR No. 64 (E) dated 27-1-1992 regarding the ban on import of fireworks. The Union of India is at liberty to update and revise this notification in view of the passage of time and further knowledge gained over the last 25 years and issue a fresh notification, if necessary.

**72.7.** The Department of Education of the Government of NCT of Delhi and the corresponding Department in other States in NCR shall immediately formulate a plan of action, in not more than 15 days, to reach out to children in all the schools through the school staff, volunteers and NGOs to sensitise and educate school children on the health hazards and ill-effects of breathing polluted air, including air that is polluted due to fireworks. School children should be encouraged to reduce, if not eliminate, the bursting of fireworks as a part of any festivities.

**72.8.** The Government of NCT of Delhi and other States in NCR may consider interacting with established medical institutions for issuing advisories cautioning people about the health hazards of bursting fireworks.



**72.9.** The interim direction issued by this Court on 31-7-2017 [*Arjun Gopal v. Union of India* (2017) 16 SCC 310] prohibiting the use of compounds of antimony, lithium, mercury, arsenic and lead in the manufacture of fireworks is made absolute. In addition, the use of strontium chromate in the manufacture of fireworks is prohibited.

**72.10.** Fireworks containing aluminium, sulphur, potassium and barium may be sold in Delhi and in NCR, provided the composition already approved by PESO is maintained. It is the responsibility of PESO to ensure compliance with the standards it has formulated.

**72.11.** Since there are enough fireworks available for sale in Delhi and NCR, the transport of fireworks into Delhi and NCR from outside the region is prohibited and the law enforcement authorities concerned will ensure that there is no further entry of fireworks into Delhi and NCR till further orders. In our opinion, even 50,00,000 kg of fireworks is far more than enough for Dussehra and Diwali in 2017. The permanent licensees are at liberty to exhaust their existing stock of fireworks in Delhi and NCR and, if that is not possible, take measures to transport the stocks outside Delhi and NCR.

**72.12.** The suspension of permanent licences as directed by the order dated 11-11-2016 [*Arjun Gopal v. Union of India*, (2017) 1 SCC 412] is lifted for the time being. This might require a review after Diwali depending on the ambient air quality post Diwali. However, it is made explicit that the sale of fireworks by the permanent licensees must conform to the directions given above and must be fully in compliance with the Explosives Rules. We were informed that the permanent licences were issued by PESO and therefore the responsibility is on PESO to ensure compliance.

**72.13.** While lifting the suspension on the permanent licences already granted, we put these licensees on notice for Dussehra and Diwali in 2018 that they will be permitted to possess and sell only 50% of the quantity permitted in 2017 and that this will substantially reduce over the next couple of years. The permanent licensees are at liberty to file objections to this proposed direction within 30 days from today and thereafter the objections if any will be heard and decided. If no objections are filed, this direction will become absolute without any further reference to any licensee.

**72.14.** Since there is a lack of clarity on the safety limits of various metals and constituents used in fireworks, a research study must be jointly carried out by the

CPCB and the FDRC laying down appropriate standards for ambient air quality in relation to the bursting of fireworks and the release of their constituents in the air. While Schedule VII to the Environment (Protection) Rules, 1986 does deal with several metals, but as we have seen there are several other metals or constituents of fireworks that have not been studied by the CPCB and no standards have been laid down with regard to the concentration of these metals or constituents in the ambient air. The CPCB has assured us that it will complete the exercise by 15-9-2017 but keeping in mind its track record subsequent to the order dated 11-11-2016 [*Arjun Gopal v. Union of India*, (2017) 1 SCC 412] this does not seem possible. Therefore, we grant time to the CPCB to come out with definite standards on or before 30-9-2017.

**72.15.** In any event, a research study also needs to be conducted on the impact of bursting fireworks during Dussehra and Diwali on the health of the people. We, therefore, appoint a Committee to be chaired by the Chairperson of the CPCB and consisting of officers at the appropriate level from the National Physical Laboratory, Delhi; the Defence Institute of Physiology and Allied Sciences, Timarpur, Delhi; the Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur; scientists from the State Pollution Control Boards, the Fire Development and Research Centre, Sivakasi and Nagpur and the National Environment Engineering Research Institute (NEERI) nominated by the Chairperson of the CPCB to submit a report in this regard preferably on or before 31-12-2017.

**72.16.** Keeping in mind the adverse effects of air pollution, the human right to breathe clean air and the human right to health, the Central Government and other authorities should consider encouraging display of fireworks through community participation rather than individual bursting of fireworks.”

4. That thereafter, vide further directions dated 23.10.2018 and after considering the affidavit filed on behalf of the Ministry of Environment, this Court issued further interim directions/directions contained in para 48 (reported in (2019) 13 SCC 523), which reads as under:

**48.** We are of the opinion that the aforesaid suggestions strike a nice balance between the two competing interests. We accept the aforesaid measures as suggested by the Union of India and direct the Union of India and other authorities concerned to implement the same with immediate effect. In view



thereof, the following specific directions are issued:

**48.1.** The crackers with reduced emission (improved crackers) and green crackers, as mentioned in Suggestions II and III above only would be permitted to be manufactured and sold.

**48.2.** As a consequence, production and sale of crackers other than those mentioned in Suggestions II and III is hereby banned.

**48.3.** The manufacture, sale and use of joined firecrackers (series crackers or *laris*) is hereby banned as the same causes huge air, noise and solid waste problems.

**48.4.** The sale shall only be through licensed traders and it shall be ensured that these licensed traders are selling those firecrackers which are permitted by this order.

**48.5.** No e-commerce websites, including Flipkart, Amazon, etc., shall accept any online orders and effect online sales. Any such e-commerce companies found selling crackers online will be hauled up for contempt of court and the Court may also pass, in that eventuality, orders of monetary penalties as well.

**48.6.** Barium salts in the fireworks is also hereby banned.

**48.7.** PESO is directed to review the clinical composition of fireworks, particularly reducing Aluminium content, and shall submit its report in respect thereof within a period of two weeks from today. For undertaking this exercise, PESO would also associate FRDC.

**48.8.** Even those crackers which have already been produced and they do not fulfil the conditions mentioned in Suggestions II and III above will not be allowed to be sold in Delhi and NCR.

**48.9.** PESO will ensure fireworks with permitted chemicals only to be purchased/possessed/sold/used during Diwali and all other religious festivals, of any religion whatsoever, and other occasions like marriages, etc. It shall test and check for the presence of banned chemicals like lithium/arsenic/antimony/lead/mercury.

**48.10.** PESO will ensure suspension of the licences of manufacturers of such fireworks items and appropriate disposal of such stock.

**48.11.** PESO will ensure that only those crackers whose decibel (sound) level are within the limits are allowed in the market and will ensure to take action by suspending the licences of the manufacturers on such violations and disposal of such lots. To add to it, as mentioned in the order dated 12-9-2017 [*Arjun Gopal v. Union of India*, (2017) 16 SCC 280], the directions issued and restrictions imposed in the order passed by this Court on 18-7-2005 in *Noise Pollution (5)* [*Noise Pollution (5)*, *In re*, (2005) 5 SCC 733] shall continue to be in force.

**48.12.** Directions 4 to 9 and 11 contained in the order dated 12-9-2017 [*Arjun Gopal v. Union of India*, (2017) 16 SCC 280] shall continue to operate and are reiterated again.

**48.13.** Extensive public awareness campaigns shall be taken up by the Central Government/State Governments/Schools/Colleges informing the public about the harmful effects of firecrackers.

**48.14.** On Diwali days or on any other festivals like *Gurupurab*, etc., when such fireworks generally take place, it would strictly be from 8.00 p.m. till 10.00 p.m. only. On Christmas eve and New Year's eve, when such fireworks start around midnight i.e. 12.00 a.m., it would be from 11.55 p.m. till 12.30 a.m. only.

**48.15.** The Union of India, Government of NCT of Delhi and the State Governments of NCR would permit community firecracking only (for Diwali and other festivals, etc. as mentioned above), wherever it can be done. For this purpose, particular area/fields would be pre-identified and predesignated by the authorities concerned. This exercise shall be completed within a period of one week from today so that the public at large is informed about the designated places one week before Diwali. The areas designated now for the purpose of Diwali shall be valid for community firecracking on other occasions/festivals as well, as mentioned above. Even for marriages and other occasions, sale of improved crackers and green crackers is only permitted. Insofar as other States are concerned, an endeavour shall be made by them also to explore the feasibility of community firecracking. However, it is made clear that Direction 48.14 pertaining to the duration within which fireworks can take place on all such occasions would be applicable throughout India. Similarly, Direction 48.13 for extensive public awareness campaigns is also a pan India direction.

**48.16.** All the official respondents, and particularly the police, shall ensure that fireworks take place only during the designated time and at designated places, as mentioned above. They shall also ensure that there is no sale of banned firecrackers. In case any violation is found, the Station House Officer (SHO) of the police station of the area concerned shall be held personally liable for such violation and this would amount to committing contempt of the Court, for which such SHO(s) would be proceeded against.

**48.17.** CPCB and respective State Pollution Control Boards/Pollution Control Committees (SPCBs/PCCs) of the States and Union Territories shall carry out short-term monitoring in their cities for 14 days (commencing from 7 days prior to Diwali and ending 7 days after Diwali) for the parameters namely, aluminium, barium, iron apart from the regulatory parameters against the short-term Ambient Air Quality Criteria Values (AAQCVs) proposed by CPCB with regard to bursting of



firecrackers. This will help in generation of data on pollution caused by the bursting of firecrackers and would be helpful for regulation and control quantity of aluminium, barium and iron used in the manufacture of firecrackers.

5. That thereafter, this Court had an occasion to consider the prayer made on behalf of the Fireworks Manufacturers to permit manufacture of fireworks with Barium substitute, i.e, Barium Nitrate. However, the same has not been permitted till date and use of Barium Salts in the fireworks is continued to be banned.

6. It is unfortunate that despite the various directions issued by this Court referred to hereinabove, there is a blatant violation of various directions issued by this Court and it is alleged that manufacture, sale and use of banned fireworks have been continued. Even though they are banned, the manufacturing, selling and using of joined firecrackers have been continued and the same is being used in blatant violation of the directions issued by this Court. It is reported that even under the guise of 'green crackers' banned chemicals firecrackers are being sold and there is a mislabelling on the boxes and even the QR codes provided on the boxes of 'green crackers' are alleged to be fake.

7. There is a report submitted by the CBI submitted pursuant to the earlier directions/orders passed by this Court and it prima facie appears that there is a blatant violation of the directions issued by this Court by the respective manufacturers and the banned firecrackers are being sold and used in the

markets. The allegations, if found to be true, are very serious and cannot be tolerated. Everybody including the implementing agencies are bound to see that the directions issued by the Courts are implemented and followed in its true spirit and in toto. It prima facie appears that the implementing agencies of the concerned States either lack desire to implement the directions issued by this Court or they close their eyes for whatever reasons. Despite the use of Barium Salts in the firecrackers was banned; manufacture and use of the joined fireworks have been banned and transporting and selling them across the country have also been banned physically and/or online, the banned firecrackers are being manufactured, transported, sold and used. From the earlier directions, it can be seen that even the responsibility to see that the directions issued by this Court are complied with by all concerned was on the concerned District Magistrates and the Police officials.

8. Considering the fact that earlier directions issued by this Court which have been issued by this Court from time to time in the larger public interest and having found that such use of banned fireworks affects the health of the other innocent citizens including the senior citizens and the children, it is the duty of all the States/State Agencies to see that the directions issued by this Court in the earlier orders reproduced hereinabove are strictly complied with. No authority can be permitted the violation of the directions issued by this Court and permit banned firecrackers under the guise of celebration. Celebration cannot be at the cost of the other's health. Under the guise of



celebration, nobody can be permitted to infringe the right to health of the others, guaranteed under Article 21 of the Constitution of India and nobody can be permitted to play with the life of the others, more particularly the senior citizens and the children. It is made clear that there is no total ban on use of firecrackers. Only those firecrackers are banned, as directed hereinabove, which are found to be injurious to health and affecting the health of the citizens, more particularly the senior citizens and the children.

9. Before passing any further orders and while adjourning the present application, we again reiterate the directions issued by this Court earlier reproduced hereinabove including banning the use of Barium Salts in the firecrackers and manufacturing and selling joined firecrackers and other directions issued by this Court reproduced hereinabove. We direct all the States/Union Territories to see that the directions issued earlier by this Court and issued by this Court today are strictly complied with in its true spirit and in toto. Any lapse on the part of the State Governments/State Agencies and Union Territories shall be viewed very seriously and if it is found that any banned firecrackers are manufactured, sold and used in any particular area, the Chief Secretary of the concerned State(s), the Secretary (Homes) of the concerned State(s) and the Commissioner of Police of the concerned area, District Superintendent of Police of the concerned area and the SHO/Police Officer in-charge of the concerned police station shall be held personally liable. Nobody can be permitted to flout and/or disobey the directions issued

by this Court/Courts. Any wilful and deliberate disobedience shall have to be viewed very seriously.

10. All States/Union Territories are directed to give due publicity through electronic media/print media/local cable services to make the people aware and know the directions issued by this Court issued hereinabove with respect to manufacture, use and sale of banned firecrackers.

11. With the above directions, the present application is adjourned to 30.11.2021 for further hearing.

.....J.  
[M.R. SHAH]

NEW DELHI;  
OCTOBER 29, 2021.

.....J.  
[A.S. BOPANNA]

ITEM NO.31  
(Part-Heard)

Court 13 (Video Conferencing)

SECTION PIL-W

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS  
Writ Petition (Civil) No. 728/2015

ARJUN GOPAL & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA . & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 178878/2018 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS  
IA No. 76593/2019 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS  
IA No. 72421/2019 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS  
IA No. 15541/2021 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS  
IA No. 24794/2020 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS  
IA No. 119396/2017 - CLARIFICATION/DIRECTION  
IA No. 110450/2021 - CLARIFICATION/DIRECTION  
IA No. 44727/2021 - CLARIFICATION/DIRECTION  
IA No. 13877/2019 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.  
IA No. 178876/2018 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT  
IA No. 10915/2019 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT  
IA No. 4/2019 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT  
IA No. 58280/2021 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT  
IA No. 179554/2018 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT  
IA No. 179145/2018 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT  
IA No. 179036/2018 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT  
IA No. 105478/2021 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL  
DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

WITH

W.P.(C) No. 891/2016 (PIL-W)

W.P.(C) No. 895/2016 (PIL-W)

W.P.(C) No. 899/2016 (PIL-W)

W.P.(C) No. 213/2017 (PIL-W)

Date : 29-10-2021 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.R. SHAH  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.S. BOPANNA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Gopal Sankaranarayanan, Sr. Adv.  
Ms. Pooja Dhar, AOR  
Mr. Shrutanjaya Bhardwaj, Adv.

Petitioner-in-person

Mr. Abhishek Agarwal, AOR

contd..



-

Mr. Anubhav Kumar, Adv.  
 Mr. Abhishek Swarup, Adv.  
 For M/s. Manoj Swarup And Co., AOR

For Respondent(s) Mrs. Yugandhara Pawar Jha, AOR

Mr. S. K. Verma, AOR

Mr. Mohinder Jit Singh, AOR

Mr. Suvidutt M.S., AOR  
 Mrs. Anu B., Adv.  
 Mrs. Dhanya C., Adv.  
 Ms. Vijayalakshmi Raju, Adv.

Mr. Ashok Kumar Gupta II, AOR

Mr. Rajiv Dutta, Sr. Adv.  
 Mr. M. A. Chinnasamy, AOR  
 Ms. C. Rubavathi, Adv.  
 Mr. M. Veeraragavan, Adv.  
 Ch. Leela Sarveswar, Adv.  
 Mr. V. Senthil Kumar, Adv.  
 Mr. P. Rajaram, Adv.  
 Mr. Ethiraj, Adv.

Ms. Aishwarya Bhati, ASG  
 Mr. Ajit Kumar Sinha, Sr. Adv.  
 Mr. Vibhu Shanker Mishra, Adv.  
 Ms. Archana Pathak Dave, Adv.  
 Mr. Satya Lipsu Ray, Adv.  
 Mr. Balendu Shekhar, Adv.  
 Mr. S. S. Rebello, Adv.  
 Mohd. Akhil, Adv.  
 Mr. Gurmeet Singh Makker, AOR

Mr. B. Krishna Prasad, AOR

Mr. Anish R. Shah, AOR

Mrs. V. D. Khanna, AOR

M/s. Corporate Law Group, AOR

Mr. Vijay Panjwani, AOR

Mr. Chirag M. Shroff, AOR

Mr. Dushyant Dave, Sr. Adv.  
 Mr. S.S. Madhavan, Adv.  
 Mr. G. Ananda Selvam, Adv.

**contd..**

Mr. Anand Dilip Landge, AOR

Mr. Harin Raval, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. S.S. Madhavan, Adv.  
Ms. Sukanya Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Kartikey Kanojiya, Adv.  
Mr. Anand Dilip Landge, AOR

Mrs. Kiran Suri, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. G. Ananda Selvam, Adv.  
Mr. Mayil Samy K., Adv.  
Mr. P. Srinivasan, Adv.  
Mrs. Lakshmi Ramamurthy, AOR

Mr. G. Ananda Selvam, Adv.  
Mr. R. Sathish Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. R. Shyam Sundar, Adv.  
Mrs. Lakshmi Ramamurthy, AOR

**Applicant-in-person**

Ms. Ranjeeta Rohatgi, AOR

Mr. Mukesh Verma, Adv.  
Mr. Yash Pal Dhingra, AOR

Mr. Vinodh Kanna B., AOR

Mr. Deepak Jain, Adv.  
Mr. Tanay Pareek, Adv.  
Mrs. Jaspreet Aulakh, Adv.  
Mr. Tanpreet Gulati, Adv.  
Mr. Georgey V M, Adv.  
Mr. Vaibhav Manu Srivastava, AOR

Ms. Uttara Babbar, AOR  
Mr. Manan Bansal, Adv.

Ms. Usha Nandini. V, AOR

Mr. Tayenjam Momo Singh, AOR

Mr. G.M. Kawaoosa, Adv.  
Ms. Taruna Ardhendumauli Prasad, AOR

Mr. T. V. S. Raghavendra Sreyas, AOR

Mr. Jayanth Muth Raj Sr. Adv.  
Mrs. Malavika Jayanth Adv  
Mr. Sureshan P., AOR

Ms. Supriya Juneja, AOR

Mr. Subhro Sanyal, AOR

Mr. Shuvodeep Roy, AOR  
Mr. Kabir Shankar Bose, Adv.  
Mr. Ishaan Borthakur, Adv.

Ms. Ruchira Gupta, Adv.  
Mr. Shishir Deshpande, AOR  
Mr. Anurag Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Anil Grover, Sr. AAG  
Ms. Noopur Singhal Adv.  
Mr. Satish Kumar Adv.  
Mr. Sanjay Kumar Visen, AOR  
Ms. Babita Mishra, Adv.

Mr. Samir Ali Khan, AOR

Ms. Parnika Medhekar, Adv.  
Mr. S. Ravi Shankar, AOR

Mr. S. N. Bhat, AOR

Ms. Ruchira Goel, AOR

Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee, AOR

Mr. Pukhrambam Ramesh Kumar, AOR  
Ms. Anupama Ngangom, Adv.  
Mr. Karun Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Praveen Swarup, AOR  
Ms. Payal Swarup, Adv.  
Mr. Ammeet Siingh, Adv.  
Ms. Pareena Swarup, Adv.  
Mr. Kanishk Chaudhary, Adv.  
Ms. Pooja Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Survesh Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Rahul Tomar, Adv.  
Mr. Chandra Pratap Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Ajay Bansal, Adv.  
Mr. Praveen Swarup, Adv.

Mr. Pradeep Misra, AOR  
Mr. Suraj Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Bhuwan Chandra, Adv.  
Mr. Manoj Kr Sharma, Adv.



Mr. Suhaan Mukerji, Adv.  
Mr. Vishal Prasad, Adv.  
Mr. Nikhil Parikshith, Adv.  
Mr. Abhishek Manchanda, Adv.  
Mr. Sayandeep Pahari, Adv.  
For M/s. PLR Chambers And Co., AOR

Mr. Arunabh Chowdhury, AAG  
Ms. Pragya Baghel, Adv.  
Ms. Pallavi Langar, AOR  
Mr. Abhishek Roy, Adv.  
Mr. Aditya Kumar, Adv.

Mr. P. V. Yogeswaran, AOR  
Mr. Ashish Kumar Upadhyay, Adv.  
Mr. Y. Lokesh, Adv.  
Ms. V. Keerthana, Adv.  
Mr. Anubhav Chaturvedi, Adv.  
Mr. Pankaj Agarwal, Adv.  
Mr. Bhupender Singh, Adv.  
Ms. Indira Bhakar, Adv.

Mr. Siddhesh Kotwal, Adv.  
Ms. Ana Upadhyay, Adv  
Ms. Manya Hasija, Adv  
Ms. Pragya Barsaiyan, Adv  
Mr. Akash Singh, Adv  
Mr. Nirnimesh Dube, AOR

Ms. Nidhi Jaswal, AOR

Mr. Raghvendra Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Anand Kumar Dubey, Adv.  
Mr. Rajiv Kumar Sinha, Adv.  
Mr. Nishant Verma, Adv.  
Mr. Narendra Kumar, AOR

Ms. Manisha Ambwani, AOR

Mrs. Malavika Jayanth, AOR

Mr. Mahfooz A. Nazki, AOR  
Mr. Polanki Gowtham, Adv.  
Mr. Shaik Mohamad Haneef, Adv.  
Mr. T. Vijaya Bhaskar Reddy, Adv.  
Mr. Amitabh Sinha, Adv.  
Mr. K.V.Girish Chowdary, Adv.

Ms. Madhumita Bhattacharjee, AOR  
Ms. Srija Chowdhury, Adv.

Mr. Anant, Adv.

Mr. M. Yogesh Kanna, AOR

Mr. M. Shoeb Alam, AOR

Mr. K. V. Muthu Kumar, AOR

Ms. K. Enatoli Sema, AOR  
Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, Adv.  
Ms. Chubalemla Chang, Adv.

Mr. Jogy Scaria, AOR

Mr. Jayesh K. Unnikrishnan, AOR  
Mr. Vijay Pratap Singh, Adv

Mr. Hitendra Nath Rath, AOR

Ms. Garima Bajaj, AOR

Mr. K. V. Jagdishvaran, Adv.  
Ms. G. Indira, AOR  
Ms. N. P. Haibilila, Adv.

Mr. Fuzail Ahmad Ayyubi, AOR

Mr. Jayesh Gaurav, Adv.  
Ms. Diksha Ojha, Adv.  
Mr. Farrukh Rasheed, AOR

Mr. Jaideep Gupta, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. D. Kumanan, AOR  
Mr. Sheikh Fakhruddin Kalia, Adv.

Mr. D. N. Goburdhan, AOR  
Ms. Gauri Goburdhan, Adv.

Mr. Avijit Roy, AOR

Ms. Astha Sharma, AOR

Mr. Ashiesh Kumar, AOR

Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, AOR

Mr. Arvind Kumar, AOR

Mr. Aravindh S., AOR

Mrs. Anil Katiyar, AOR

Ms. Aishwarya Bhati, ASG

Mr. Ajit Kr. Sinha, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. B.K.Satija, Adv.  
Mr. A. S. Sinha, Adv.  
Ms. Ruchi Kohli, Adv.  
Mr. R.R. Rajesh, Adv.  
Mr. Mohd. Akhil, Adv.  
Mr. Amrish Kumar, AOR

Mr. Abhimanyu Tewari, Adv.  
Ms. Eliza Bar, Adv.

Dr. A. P. Singh, Adv.  
Mr. V. P. Singh, Adv.  
Ms. Geeta Chauhan, Adv.  
Mr. Sadashiv, AOR  
Ms. Richa Singh, Adv.  
Ms. Pratima Rani, Adv.  
Mr. Jai Gopal Saboo, Adv.  
Mr. Sharwan Kumar Goyal, Adv.

Mr. S. Manoj Selvaraj, Adv.  
Ms. J. Vijetha, Adv.  
Ms. J. Kiran, Adv.  
Mr. Mohammad Javed Malik, Adv.  
Mr. Ajay Sharma, Adv.  
Ms. Leena Saxena, Adv.  
Ms. Nancy Mittal, Adv.  
Mr. C. Solomon, Adv.  
Mr. R. Naveen Raj, Adv.  
Mr. Krishna Perumal, Adv.  
Ms. Tanuja Manjari Patra, Adv.  
Ms. Aswathi M.K., AOR

Ms. Aishwarya Bhati, ASG  
Mr. Ajit Kumar Sinha, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Vibhu Shanker Mishra, Adv.  
Ms. Archana Pathak Dave, Adv.  
Mr. Satya Lipsu Ray, Adv.  
Mr. Balendu Shekhar, Adv.  
Mr. B. V. Balaram Das, AOR

Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tyagi, AOR  
Ms. Vandana Sehgal, AOR

Mr. Chandan Kumar, AOR  
Mr. Gaurang Kanth, Adv.  
Ms. Gauri Goburdhun, Adv.

Ms. Rohini Musa, AOR

Ms. Aishwarya Bhati, ASG  
Mr. Ajit Kr. Sinha, Sr. Adv.  
Ms. Ruchi Kohli, Adv.



Mr. B.K.Satija, Adv.  
Mr. R.R. Rajesh, Adv.  
Mr. Raj Bahadur Yadav, AOR

Mr. G Prakash, AOR  
Ms. Priyanka Prakash, Adv.  
Ms. Beena Prakash, Adv.

Ms. Deepanwita Priyanka, AOR

Mr. V. Krishnamurthy, Sr. Adv/AAG  
Dr. Joseph Aristotle S., AOR.  
Ms. Preeti Singh, Adv.  
Ms. Ripul Swati Kumari, Adv.

Mr. S. Udaya Kumar Sagar, AOR  
Ms. Sweena Nair, Adv.

Mr. Avijit Mani Tripathi, AOR,  
Mr. Shaurya Sahay, Adv.  
Ms. Tarini K. Nayak, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

I.A. No. 44727/2021 in WRIT PETITION(C) NO. 728/2015

With the directions as mentioned in the signed order, the  
present application is adjourned to 30.11.2021 for further hearing.

(NEETU SACHDEVA)  
COURT MASTER (SH)

(signed order is placed on the file)

(NISHA TRIPATHI)  
BRANCH OFFICER